

## Document Analysis Assignment

1. The filmmaker of the documentary, Super High Me, is Doug Benson. Some things you have to know about the filmmaker are that he is a stand-up comedian who was formally the Stoner of the Year. If you know those things when you analyze the film, you will have a good idea of how the film will have a certain point of view.
2. Some central questions the film is trying to answer are is smoking medical marijuana for 30 days straight bad for your health and how does smoking medical marijuana for 30 days straight after not smoking marijuana for 30 days affect you?
3. The target audience for this film is more of an older audience. I think the audience would be 16 years old and older. The target audience isn't necessarily people who smoke medical marijuana because the film shows anyone who is interested in the effects of medical marijuana a first-hand view of what constant use of medical marijuana does to someone.
4. This film is about a medical marijuana smoking comedian who decides to stop smoking for 30 days and then smoke all day every day for 30 days. It tried to show how medical marijuana can affect someone if they smoke it all day every day. I think this is what the film is about because they make it obvious that that is what they are trying to show in the documentary.
5. Some people might see this film in a way that differs from the way I see it because a lot of people have different views on the usage of medical marijuana. Since I'm not very religious and I live in California, I don't really have a problem with medical marijuana, but a priest from Texas could be against the use of medical marijuana and see this film differently than I do.
6. This film was made in 2008 and since then not much has changed on the medical marijuana situation. There might have been more medical marijuana dispensaries opened in the past 3 years, but legally nothing has changed.
7. There was a pretty even balance of facts and opinions in this documentary. There were a lot of facts about statistics. There were also

many tests taken and the scores on those tests were facts. But given the conflict of medical marijuana, there were a lot of interviews that people give their opinions on the situation.

8. The filmmaker wants people to know how medical marijuana affects someone. It is obvious that he wants to persuade the audience that using medical marijuana isn't as bad as some people think. I know this because he constantly put interviews of people who were for the usage of medical marijuana rather than people who were against it.
9. The people who might benefit from this film are medical marijuana dispensaries, people who smoke medical marijuana, and the people who agree with the usage of medical marijuana. The people who are harmed or disadvantaged by this film are the federal government and the people who are against the usage of medical marijuana.
10. My reaction to this film was surprised. I was surprised that the filmmaker had the courage to make a film on such a controversial topic. I was also surprised at the facts that were proven in the movie, such as he only lost 3% of his lung capacity when he smoked for 30 days straight.